

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,159	05/04/2006	Hiroshi Fukukita	10873.1862USWO	4649
	90 03/27/2009 MANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2902-0902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		CHAO, ELMER M		
MINNEAPOLI	3, IVIIN 33402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		FUKUKITA, HIROSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ELMER CHAO	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ma	ay 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>		·	ts is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/7/2009 & 10/14/2008 & 5/4/2006</u> .	6) Other:	• •			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made of the amendment filed 5/4/2006.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawagishi et al. (U.S. 6,635,018 B2).

Regarding **claim 1**, Kawagishi et al. teach an ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus comprising: a transducer array in which a plurality of transducers for transmitting an ultrasonic wave to a subject and receiving a reflected wave therefrom are arrayed (see at least fig. 9a, item 12); a delay addition unit for performing parallel reception by carrying out a delay addition operation with respect to reception signals obtained by the transducer array (see at least fig. 1, item 23); and a deflection angle control unit for controlling a deflection angle for reception according to a setting for the delay addition operation carried out by the delay addition unit, wherein the deflection angle control unit

Application/Control Number: 10/578,159

Art Unit: 3737

narrows an angle formed between a plurality of directions of reception directivities in the parallel reception as a deflection angle of a transmission beam transmitted from the transducer array increases (see at least: col. 10, lines 23-47, refer to "aperture" and "beam width"; fig. 9a & 9b; col. 15, lines 48 – col. 16, line 6).

Regarding claim 2, Kawagishi et al. teach the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus further comprising a correction unit for performing control for changing sensitivity correction amounts for a plurality of reception signals in the parallel reception in a manner such that a decrease in a relative sensitivity in transmission-reception due to an increase in the deflection angle of the transmission beam is compensated (see at least: col. 4, lines 43-60, refer to "receiving condition corrector"; col. 15, lines 48 - col. 16, line 6).

Regarding claim 3, Kawagishi et al. teach the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus, wherein the correction unit performs correction such that any of the plurality of reception signals received in a state such that angles between respective directions of reception directivities in the parallel reception and a direction of a directivity of the transmission beam are equal to one another have relative sensitivities equal to one another (see at least: col. 4, lines 43-60, refer to "receiving condition corrector" and "non-uniformity"; col. 15, lines 48 - col. 16, line 6, refer to "non-uniformity).

Regarding claim 4, Kawagishi et al. teach the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus, wherein the deflection angle control unit performs control such that a difference between a deflection angle determining a direction of the transmission beam and a deflection angle determining a next direction of the transmission beam decreases as the Application/Control Number: 10/578,159

Art Unit: 3737

deflection angle of the transmission beam increases (see at least: fig. 21, items B₁-B₄; col. 10, lines 23-47, refer to "aperture" and "beam width", a smaller beam width would necessitate increasingly smaller deflection angles as the deflection angles increase in order to continuously scan the region).

Regarding claim 5, Kawagishi et al. teach the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus, wherein the plurality of transducers are arrayed at least two-dimensionally (col. 6, lines 33-36), and a plurality of points at which the transmission beam crosses a projection face form lattice points that are arrayed two-dimensionally at uniform intervals (col. 6, lines 33-36, if a two-dimensional array is used then there would exist a projection face arrayed two-dimensionally at uniform intervals when the array is used for 3D volume imaging).

Alternatively regarding claims 1-5, Kawagishi et al. teach a system that is fully capable of performing the functional limitations as described by claim 1 (fig. 1, refer to system components).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELMER CHAO whose telephone number is (571)272-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> /BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art **Unit 3737**

/E. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3737 3/21/2009